IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

MICHAEL BEAR, BOP # 11543-084

Plaintiff,

v.

DR. MARTIN, UNKNOWN
MEDICAL STAFF OF THE BUREAU
OF PRISONS, WARDEN DREW, DR.
JAMES WINSTON, HSA T.
HOLLINGER, DR. NOBERT
ROSARIO, and DR. LENNOR
BONNET/ENGEBRETSON,

Defendants.

PRISONER CIVIL RIGHTS & FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1346(B) & 2671 et seq.

CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 1:16-CV-102-TWT-JKL

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for entry of default judgment. [Doc. 51.] The named Defendants have filed a response in opposition to the motion. [Doc. 53.]

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(a) provides that "[w]hen a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend, and that failure is shown by affidavit or otherwise, the clerk must enter the party's default." Plaintiff argues that all of the defendants were served by the U.S.

Marshal's service on December 22, 2017, but that none have filed an appearance in

the case.

Plaintiff is mistaken. According to the docket, Dr. Martin is the only named

defendant that has been served in this case. [Doc. 48.] Service was executed on

December 22, 2017. Defendants Winston and Hollinger represent that they also

received service packaged on December 22, 2017. [Doc. 53 at 2.] Sixty days later,

on February 20, 2017, Defendants Martin, Winston, and Hollinger filed a motion

for more definite statement pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(e). The

filing of a motion for more definite statement postpones the date on which a

defendant must file an answer. Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(4). Until service is executed

on the other named defendants, they have no obligation to file answers or

otherwise defend this action. Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion should be **DENIED**.

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED this 22nd day of March, 2018.

IOHN K. LARKINS III

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

LK.L.L.II

2